

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/535,026	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BHATTACHARYA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> EDNA WONG	<b>Art Unit</b> 1795	

**All Participants:**

(1) EDNA WONG.

(2) Paul J. White.

**Date of Interview:** 11 August 2008

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*During a telephone conversation with Paul J. White on August 11, 2008 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-11 and 15.*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Edna Wong/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1795

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)